Journal Pre-proof

Doing the right thing for the right reason when treating ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms in the COVID-19 era

Christos Verikokos, MD, Andreas M. Lazaris, MD, FACS, FEBVS, George Geroulakos, MD, PhD, FRCS

PII: S0741-5214(20)30504-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2020.04.009

Reference: YMVA 11235

To appear in: Journal of Vascular Surgery

Received Date: 28 March 2020

Accepted Date: 4 April 2020

Please cite this article as: Verikokos C, Lazaris AM, Geroulakos G, Doing the right thing for the right reason when treating ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms in the COVID-19 era, *Journal of Vascular Surgery* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2020.04.009.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Society for Vascular Surgery.



- 1 Title:
- 2 Doing the right thing for the right reason when treating ruptured abdominal aortic
- 3 aneurysms in the COVID-19 era
- 4
- 5 Authors:
- 6 Christos Verikokos, MD
- 7 Andreas M. Lazaris, MD, FACS, FEBVS
- 8 George Geroulakos, MD, PhD, FRCS
- 9
- 10 Affiliation:
- 11 Vascular Surgery Department, Attikon University Hospital, National and
- 12 Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 13
- 14 Corresponding Author:
- 15 Andreas M. Lazaris, MD, FACS, FEBVS
- 16 E: <u>andreaslazaris@hotmail.com</u>
- 17
- 18 Words count: 409
- 19

Journal Pre-proof

20 Manuscript

21 The recent COVID-19 pandemic has increased the work-load on health services worldwide, especially as it regards intensive care unit (ICU) beds availability. The 22 23 need of spare ICU beds in favor of COVID-19 patients increases the danger of diminished treatment options for patients suffering from other diseases, especially 24 25 where resources are limited. Patients with ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms (rAAA) are among those who may 26 need ICU postoperatively. Open repair increases both the intraoperative complexity of 27 28 treatment and the need for postoperative intensive care. On the other hand, endovascular treatment (EVAR) can be performed under local anesthesia, and a 29 30 successful outcome is usually accompanied by short recovery and quick turnover. 31 We recently admitted a 78 year-old male with a rAAA. He presented with lumbar 32 pain and hypotension. Due to the COVID-19 epidemic, there was no bed available in the ICU. The patient underwent an emergency endovascular repair under local 33 anesthesia using a Lifetech AnkuraTM endograft. He received only 3 packs of red 34 blood cells intraoperatively, and after the procedure he was transferred immediately in 35 36 the Vascular Surgery ward. No ICU was needed. He had an uneventful recovery, with full mobilization and oral feeding from the 1st postoperative day and discharged on 37 the 2^{nd} postoperative day. 38

Although the type of treatment of rAAA is still debatable[1], EVAR is considered the
first treatment option in an increasing number of Vascular Departments worldwide
due to the reduced perioperative risk, and shorter postoperative in-hospital length of
stay[2]. Definitely, the low number of suitability for endovascular repair[3] should be
taken under consideration. The 2018 guidelines for the treatment of AAA

Journal Pre-proof

44	recommends EVAR over open repair in anatomically feasible patients with rAAA[2].
45	Performing the procedure under local anesthesia is an additional advantage. In a
46	recent analysis of the Vascular Quality Initiative database, patients with rAAA who
47	were treated with EVAR under local anesthesia compared to EVAR under general
48	anesthesia had decreased intraoperative time, decreased number of intraoperative
49	blood transfusions, decreased ICU length of stay and less postoperative pulmonary
50	complications[4].
51	Today, when everyone in the health care system struggles with challenges posed by
52	the coronavirus, every choice should be made with the concept in mind "doing the
53	right thing for the right reason". Using EVAR, if anatomically possible, under local
54	anesthesia rather than open repair or EVAR under general anesthesia seems to be the
55	best solution. This way we can achieve both goals at the same time, i.e. treating
56	patients in danger and saving valuable health care resources.

57

Conflicts of interest 58

59 Authors do not report any financial association or other conflict of interest

60

61 References

62	1.	IMPROVE Trial Investigators, Powell JT, Sweeting MJ, Thompson MM,
63		Ashleigh R, Bell R, Gomes M, et al. Endovascular or open repair strategy
64		for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: 30 day outcomes from
65		IMPROVE randomized trial. BMJ 2014;13:348:f7661.

		Journal Pre-proof
66	2.	Chaikof EL, Dalman RL, Eskandari MK, Jackson BM, Lee WA, Mansour
67		MA, et al. The Society for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines on the
68		care of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm. J Vasc Surg
69		2018;67:2-77.e2.
70	3.	Hoornweg LL, Wisselink W, Vahl A, Balm R; Amsterdam Acute
71		Aneurysm Trial Collaborators. The Amsterdam Acute Aneurysm Trial:
72		suitability and application rate for endovascular repair of ruptured
73		abdominal aortic aneurysms. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2007;33:679-83.
74	4.	Faizer R, Weinhandl E, El Hag, Le Jeune S, Apostolidou I, Shafii SM, et
75		al. Decreased mortality with local versus general anesthesia in
76		endovascular aneurysm repair for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm in
77		the Vascular Quality Initiative database. J Vasc Surg 2019;70:92-101.